

08/557,586



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**  
 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/557,586	11/14/95	SQUIBB	HP-10951196-

24M1/0717

IP ADMINISTRATION  
 LEGAL DEPARTMENT 2080  
 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY  
 P O BOX 10301  
 PALO ALTO CA 94303-0890

EXAMINER	
HOMERE, J	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2307	4

DATE MAILED: 07/17/97

**EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jean R. Homere (Pro) (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Greg Osterloh (Applicant's Representative) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 7/14/97Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.Claims discussed: 1Identification of prior art discussed: Mukherjee (5,317,729), Koerber (5,581,755)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative argued that the prior art of record does not teach 'storing delta streams in a sequential storage medium.' The examiner kindly submitted that Koerber does keep track of the differences between two versions of an object. Further, the DASD can equally store the data stream in a sequential fashion

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Jean R. Homere  
 Examiner's Signature